

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

---

This Document Relates to:

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING SDI  
DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO SEAL DOCUMENTS  
PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES  
7-11 AND 79-5**

### *All Indirect Purchaser Actions*

[RE SDI'S MIL NO. 2]

*Sharp Electronics Corp., et a. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-1173;

*Sharp Elecs. Corp. v. Koninklijke Philips Elecs. N.V.*, No. 13-cv-02776;

*Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-05502;

*Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05261;

*Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,*  
No. 11-cv-05513;

*Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05264;

*Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al.*, No. 13-cv-05686;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.*, No. 11-cv-05514;

*Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA*, No. 13-cv-05262;

*Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.* No. 14-cv-02510.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 On February 13, 2015, Defendants Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.;  
2 Samsung SDI (Malaysia) SDN. Bhd.; Samsung SDI Mexico S.A. De C.V.; Samsung SDI Brasil  
3 Ltda.; Shenzhen Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd. (collectively, “SDI”)  
4 lodged the following documents:

5 1. Exhibits 1-2 of the Declaration of James L. McGinnis in Support of SDI’s Motion  
6 *In Limine* To Exclude Irrelevant And Highly Prejudicial Portions of Plaintiffs’ Trial  
7 Exhibit, Bates Stamped TSA-CRT-00077732 (“McGinnis Decl. in Support of  
8 SDI’s MIL No. 2”).

9 With respect to these documents, SDI filed an Administrative Motion to Seal Documents  
10 pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5(d) and (e) (“Motion to Seal”) and the Declaration of  
11 Helen C. Eckert in support thereof (“Eckert Declaration”) seeking leave to maintain these  
12 documents under seal.

13 After due consideration of the Motion to Seal, the Eckert Declaration, any additional  
14 declarations subsequently filed in support, the Court’s previously entered Protective Order, and  
15 the Court’s file in this matter, IT IS HEREBY:

16 ORDERED that the Motion to Seal is GRANTED; and it is further

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 ORDERED that the Clerk shall file and maintain under seal the following documents or  
2 portions of documents related to SDI's Motion to Seal:

3 Document	4 Sealed Portions
4 Exhibits 1-2 of the McGinnis Decl. in Support 5 of SDI's MIL No. 2	All

6  
7 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

8 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2015  
9

10  
11 Hon. Samuel Conti  
12 United States District Judge  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28